Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method performed by a computer for filtering interference and noise of an asynchronous wireless signal comprising the steps of:

receiving an asynchronous data vector including a spreading code;

using the received asynchronous data vector, updating to update weight coefficients of an adaptive filter without prior knowledge of synchronization of synchronization of the spreading code;

using the updated weight coefficients information to determine synchronization of the spreading code; and

demodulating the output of the filter using the determined synchronization of the spreading code for obtaining a filtered data vector.

- 2. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of dividing the received asynchronous data vector represented by $\mathbf{x}[i]$ into two channels $\mathbf{x}_1[i]$ and $d_1[i]$ using a transformation \mathbf{T}_1 on $\mathbf{x}[i]$, represented by $\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, wherein the transformed data vector $\mathbf{x}[i]$ does not contain information about a designated sender's spreading code s_1 , and $d_1[i]$ contains primarily only information about the spreading code s_1 and residual data from correlation of s_1 and $\mathbf{x}[i]$.
- 3. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the transformation T_1 is defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\mathsf{t}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{s}_1}$, $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17)

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining synchronization comprises the steps of:

computing \hat{i} , the time occurrence of the information data bit, from the equation;

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y[\hat{i}] \} \right| = \max_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, NS-1\}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y[i-k] \} \right|$$
 (30c),

where $y[i] = \mathbf{w}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}[i]$ is filtered output from a likelihood ratio test at clock time i detecting sequentially maximum of all likelihood tests in the set Y[i] given by

$$Y[i] = \{ | \text{Re}\{y[i]\}|, ..., | \text{Re}\{y[i-NS+1]\}| \},$$

where N is number of chips in the spreading code and S is number of samples per chip time, and $W[i]^t$ is a tap-weights' vector.

5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of updating weight coefficients comprises the steps of:

computing maximum likelihood estimator for covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{x}[i]$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i] \mathbf{x}^{(m)*}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_{0}[i] \mathbf{X}_{0}^{\dagger}[i].$$

wherein, $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ is an observation vector at a sampling time iT_s of the mth symbol, L is approximate number of independent samples of the observation vector $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ for the initial acquisition of detector parameters, and the data is given in matrix form by

$$\mathbf{X}_{0}[i] \triangleq [\mathbf{x}^{(1)}[i], ..., \mathbf{x}^{(L)}[i]];$$

computing estimate of $R_{x_1}[i]$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{x_1}[i] = \mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{x_1}[i] \mathbf{B}_1^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{X}_0[i] \mathbf{X}_0^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{B}_1^{\dagger}$$

and estimate of cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} as,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_1 d_1} = \mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}}[i] \mathbf{s}_1 = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{X}_0[i] \mathbf{X}_0^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{s}_1$$

;

computing
$$\mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\dagger}[i] = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}_1 d_1}^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}_1}^{-1}[i]$$
 (29);

estimating
$$\hat{b}_1 = \operatorname{sgn}((\mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger} - \mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\dagger}[\hat{i}]\mathbf{B}_1)\mathbf{x}[\hat{i}])$$

(35). wherein

$$\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} - \mathbf{w}_{\text{GSC}}^{\dagger}[i]\mathbf{B}_{1}$$
 (30a) is a weight vector; and

computing output
$$y[i] = (\mathbf{u}_1^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\mathsf{t}}[i]\mathbf{B}_1)\mathbf{x}[i].$$
 (30b).

6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of updating weight coefficients further comprises the steps of:

applying $X_0[i] \triangleq [x^{(1)}[i], ..., x^{(L)}[i]]$, wherein L is number of independent samples of an observation vector $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ and \mathbf{s}_1 is a designated sender's spreading code;

applying
$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}$$
;

applying $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{\dagger}$, where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1 / \sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}_1}$. $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17);

for
$$j = 1$$
 to $(M - 1)$, computing d_j and \mathbf{x}_j

$$\mathbf{d}_j^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_j^{(1)}[i], ..., \hat{d}_j^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i],$$

$$\mathbf{X}_i[i] \triangleq [\mathbf{x}_i^{(1)}[i], ..., \mathbf{x}_j^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{\mathbf{B}}_i[i] \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i] \text{ where } d_1[i] = \mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}[i] \text{ is a}$$

signal-plus-noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_1[i] = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, is an (N-1) – dimensional process with the signal removed;

computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_j^{(m)}[i] d_j^{(m)}[i]^* = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_j[i] d_j[i]$$
, where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i]$ is estimate of

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} ,

$$\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i] \right\|$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} \quad , \text{where} \quad \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i]\|}_{-};$$

computing (j+1)th blocking matrix $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{j+1}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i+1}[i] = \mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{i+1}[i]\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{i+1}^{\dagger}[i] ;$$

computing $d_M^{(m)}[i]$ and setting it equal to Mth error signal $\in_M^{(m)}[i]$

$$\mathbf{d}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \ \underline{\hat{a}} \ [\hat{d}_{M}^{(1)}[i], \, ..., \, \hat{d}_{M}^{(L)}[i]] = \mathbf{e}_{_{M}}^{\dagger}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \, X_{M-1}[i] \ ;$$

applying
$$\hat{\sigma}_{d_M}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} |\hat{d}_M^{(m)}[i]|^2 = \hat{\xi}_M[i], \ \hat{\omega}_M[i] = \hat{\xi}_M^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_M[i];$$

for j = (M-1) to 2, estimating variance of $d_j[i]$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \left| \hat{d}_j^{(m)}[i] \right|^2 ;$$

estimating variance of error signal \in

$$\hat{\xi}_j[i] \triangleq \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon_j}^2[i] = \hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] - \hat{\xi}_{j+1}^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^2[i]$$
; and

computing jth scalar Wiener filter $\hat{\omega}_{i}[i]$

$$\hat{\omega}_{j}[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{j}[i]}{\hat{\xi}_{j}[i]} .$$

7. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of updating weight coefficients further comprises the steps of:

applying $X_0[i] \triangleq [x^{(1)}[i], ..., x^{(L)}[i]]$, wherein L is number of independent samples of an observation vector $x^{(m)}[i]$ and s_1 is a designated sender's spreading code;

applying $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}$ and $\mathbf{x}_0[i] = \mathbf{x}[i]$, where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{s}_1}$., $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17);

for j = 1 to (M-1), computing d_j and \mathbf{x}_j $d_j[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{\dagger}[i] \ \mathbf{x}_{j-1}[i]$ $\mathbf{x}_j[i] = \mathbf{x}_{j-1}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j[i] \ d_j[i]$ $d_j^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_j^{(1)}[i], \dots, \hat{d}_j^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{\dagger}[i] \ \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i],$ $\mathbf{X}_j[i] \triangleq [\mathbf{x}_j^{(1)}[i], \dots, \mathbf{x}_j^{(L)}[i]] = \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j[i] \ d_j^{\dagger}[i] \ \underline{\text{where}} \ \underline{d_1[i]} = \underline{\mathbf{u}}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}[i]$ is a signal-plus-noise scalar process and $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_1[i] = \underline{\mathbf{B}}_1\underline{\mathbf{x}}[i]$, is an (N-1) dimensional process with the signal removed;

computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_j^{(m)}[i] d_j^{(m)}[i]^* = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_j[i] \mathbf{d}_j[i]$$
, where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i]$ is estimate of

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{j+1}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_i d_i}[i] \right\|$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} \underbrace{\text{,where}}_{j+1} \underbrace{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} = \underbrace{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]\|}_{j+1}.$$

computing $d_M^{(m)}[i]$ and setting it equal to Mth error signal $\in_M^{(m)}[i]$

$$\mathbf{d}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \Delta \left[\hat{d}_{M}^{(1)}[i], \hat{d}_{M}^{(L)}[i]\right] = \mathbf{e}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{X}_{M,\uparrow}[i];$$

applying
$$\hat{\sigma}_{d_M}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^L \left| \hat{d}_M^{(m)}[i] \right|^2 = \hat{\xi}_M[i], \ \hat{\omega}_M[i] = \hat{\xi}_M^{-1}[i] \hat{\delta}_M[i]$$
;

for
$$j = (M-1)$$
 to 2, estimating variance of $d_j[i]$, $\hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^L \left| \hat{d}_j^{(m)}[i] \right|^2$; estimating variance of error signal \in_j , $\hat{\xi}_j[i] \triangleq \hat{\sigma}_{e_j}^2[i] = \hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] - \hat{\xi}_{j+1}^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^2[i]$; and computing j th scalar Wiener filter by $\hat{\omega}_j[i]$, $\hat{\omega}_j[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_j[i]}{\hat{\xi}_j[i]}$.

8. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the steps of updating weight coefficients and using the updated weight coefficients further comprises the steps of:

for k = 1 to n, applying

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_0d_0}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{s}_{1,} \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}, \text{ and } \quad \hat{\delta}_1^{(k)}[i] = \|\mathbf{s}_1\|, \text{ wherein } \mathbf{x}_0^{(k)}[i] \text{ is the }$$

received <u>asynchronous</u> data vector, s_1 is a designated sender's spreading code, and k is kth clock time, where k = 1 is the first time the data is observed;

for j = 1 to (M - 1), applying

$$d_j^{(k)}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{j-1}^{(k)}[i]$$
, and

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{(k)}[i] d_{j}^{(k)}[i] \cdot \underline{\text{where}} \underline{d_{1}[i]} = \underline{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}[i] \text{ is a signal-plus-}}$$

noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_1[i] = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{x}[i]$, is an (N-1) – dimensional process with the signal removed;

computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k)}[i] = (1 - \alpha)\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k-1)}[i] + \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i]d_{j}^{(k)}[i]^{*} \quad \underline{\text{where }}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}[i] \quad \underline{\text{is estimate of }}$$

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{x1d1} ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}^{(k)}[i] \right\|,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} \text{, wherein } \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k)}[i]\|} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a time}$$

constant;

applying Mth error signal $\in_M^{(k)}[i] = d_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{M-1}^{(k)}[i]$;

for j = M to 2, estimating variance of <u>error signal</u> $\in_i^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = (\hat{\delta}_{\in_{j}}^{(k)})^{2}[i] = (1 - \alpha)\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k-1)}[i] + \left| \epsilon_{j}^{(k)}[i] \right|^{2};$$

computing *jth* scalar Wiener filter $\hat{\omega}_{i}^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}$$
; and

computing (j-1)th error signal $\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i]$

$$\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] = d_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\omega}_j^{(k)}[i]^* \in_j^{(k)}[i] ; \text{ wherein output at time } k \text{th is}$$

$$y^{(k)}[i] = \in_1^{(k)}[i].$$

9. (Original) An adaptive near-far resistant receiver for an asynchronous wireless system comprising:

means for receiving an asynchronous data vector including a spreading code;

using the received asynchronous data vector, means for updating weight coefficients of an adaptive filter without prior knowledge of synchronization of the spreading code of the data vector;

using the updated weight coefficients, means for determining synchronization of the spreading code; and

means for demodulating the output of the filter using the determined synchronization of the spreading code for obtaining a filtered data vector.

- 10. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, further comprising means for dividing the received asynchronous data vector represented by $\mathbf{x}[i]$ into two channels $\mathbf{x}_1[i]$ and $d_1[i]$ using a transformation \mathbf{T}_1 on $\mathbf{x}[i]$, represented by $\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, wherein the transformed data vector $\mathbf{x}[i]$ does not contain information about a designated sender's spreading code s_1 , and $d_1[i]$ contains primarily only information about the spreading code s_1 and residual data from correlation of s_1 and $\mathbf{x}[i]$.
- 11. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 10, wherein the transformation T_1 is defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{B}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1 / \sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}_1}$, $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17)

12. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, wherein the means for determining the synchronization of the spreading code comprises:

means for computing \hat{i} , the time occurrence of the information data bit, from the equation;

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y [\hat{i}] \} \right| = \max_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, NS-1\}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y [i - k] \} \right|$$
 (30c),

where $y[i] = \mathbf{w}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}[i]$ is filtered output from a likelihood ratio test at clock time i detecting sequentially maximum of all likelihood ratio tests in the set Y[i] given by $Y[i] = \{ | \text{Re}\{y[i]\}|, ..., | \text{Re}\{y[i-NS+1]\}| \}$, where N is number of chips in the spreading code and S is number of samples per chip time and $W[i]^{\dagger}$ is a tap-weights' vector.

13. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, wherein the means for using the received asynchronous data vector, to update weight coefficients further comprises:

means for applying $X_0[i] \triangleq [x^{(1)}[i], ..., x^{(L)}[i]]$, wherein L is number of independent samples of an observation vector $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ and \mathbf{s}_1 is a designated sender's spreading code;

means for applying $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}$;

means for applying $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j^{\dagger}$, where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{s}_1}$., [.] denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17);

for
$$j = 1$$
 to $(M - 1)$, means for computing d_j and \mathbf{x}_j

$$\mathbf{d}_i^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_i^{(1)}[i], \dots, \hat{d}_i^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i],$$

$$X_{i}[i] \triangleq [x_{i}^{(1)}[i], ..., x_{i}^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{B}_{i}[i] X_{i-1}[i]$$
, where $d_{1}[i] = u_{1}^{\dagger}x[i]$ is a

signal-plus-noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_1[i] = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, is an (N-1) – dimensional process with the signal removed;

means for computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(m)}[i]d_{j}^{(m)}[i]^{*} = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_{j}[i] \mathbf{d}_{j}[i], \text{ where } \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}d_{j}}[i] \text{ is estimate of }$$

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} ,

$$\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i] = \left| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i] \right|$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{i+1}[i]}, \underline{\text{where}} \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]\|};$$

means for computing (j+1)th blocking matrix $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{j+1}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{j+1}[i] = \mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i]\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{\dagger}[i] ;$$

means for computing $d_M^{(m)}[i]$ and set it equal to Mth error signal $\in_M^{(m)}[i]$

$$\mathbf{d}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_{M}^{(1)}[i], ..., \hat{d}_{M}^{(L)}[i]] = \mathbf{e}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] X_{M-1}[i] ;$$

means for applying
$$\hat{\sigma}_{d_M}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} |\hat{d}_M^{(m)}[i]|^2 = \hat{\xi}_M[i], \ \hat{\omega}_M[i] = \hat{\xi}_M^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_M[i];$$

for j = (M-1) to 2, means for estimating variance of $d_i[i]$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} |\hat{d}_j^{(m)}[i]|^2$$
;

means for estimate variance of error signal \in i

$$\hat{\xi}_{i}[i] \triangleq \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon_{i}}^{2}[i] = \hat{\sigma}_{d_{i}}^{2}[i] - \hat{\xi}_{j+1}^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{2}[i]$$
; and

means for computing jth scalar Wiener filter $\hat{\omega}_{i}[i]$

$$\hat{\omega}_{j}[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{j}[i]}{\hat{\xi}_{i}[i]} \ .$$

14. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, wherein the means for using the received asynchronous data vector, to update weight coefficients further comprises:

means for applying $X_0[i] \triangleq [x^{(1)}[i], ..., x^{(L)}[i]]$, wherein L is number of independent samples of an observation vector $x^{(m)}[i]$ and s_1 is a designated sender's spreading code;

means for applying $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}$ and $\mathbf{x}_0[i] = \mathbf{x}[i]$, where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{s}_1}$., [.] denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{s}_1 = 0.(17)$;

for j = 1 to (M-1), means for computing d_j and x_j

$$d_{j}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{x}_{j-1}[i]$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{i-1}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{i}[i] d_{i}[i]$$

$$\mathbf{d}_{j}^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_{j}^{(1)}[i], ..., \hat{d}_{j}^{(L)}[i]] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{\dagger}[i] \, \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i],$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{j}[i] \triangleq [\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(1)}[i], ..., \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(L)}[i]] = \mathbf{X}_{j-1}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}[i] \, \mathbf{d}_{j}^{\dagger}[i] \, \underline{\text{where}} \, \underline{d}_{1}[i] = \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}[i]$$
is a signal-plus-noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_{1}[i] = \mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{x}[i]$, is an $(N-1)$ - dimensional process with the signal removed;

means for computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_j^{(m)}[i] d_j^{(m)}[i]^* = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_j[i] \mathbf{d}_j[i]$$
 where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}[i]$ is estimate of

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{x1d1} ,

$$\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i] \right\|$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} \quad \underline{,\text{where}} \quad \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}[i]\|} ;$$

means for computing $d_M^{(m)}[i]$ and set it equal to Mth error signal $\in_M^{(m)}[i]$

$$\mathbf{d}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \triangleq [\hat{d}_{M}^{(1)}[i], \,,\, \hat{d}_{M}^{(L)}[i]] = \mathbf{e}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{M}^{\dagger}[i] \, \mathbf{X}_{M-1}[i] \;;$$

means for applying $\hat{\sigma}_{d_M}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} |\hat{d}_M^{(m)}[i]|^2 = \hat{\xi}_M[i], \ \hat{\omega}_M[i] = \hat{\xi}_M^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_M[i];$

for j = (M-1) to 2, means for estimating variance of $d_j[i]$, $\hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} |\hat{d}_j^{(m)}[i]|^2$

means for estimating variance of error signal \in_j , $\hat{\xi}_j[i] \triangleq \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon_j}^2[i] = \hat{\sigma}_{d_j}^2[i] - \hat{\xi}_{j+1}^{-1}[i]\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^2[i]$; and

means for computing jth scalar Wiener filter by $\hat{\omega}_j[i]$, $\hat{\omega}_j[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_j[i]}{\hat{\xi}_i[i]}$.

15. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, wherein the means for using the received asynchronous data and updates weight coefficients further comprises:

for k = 1 to n, means for applying

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_0 d_0}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{s}_{1,} \ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|}, \text{ and } \ \hat{\delta}_1^{(k)}[i] = \|\mathbf{s}_1\|, \text{ wherein } \mathbf{x}_0^{(k)}[i] \text{ is the }$$

received <u>asynchronous</u> data vector, s_1 is a designated sender's spreading code, and k is kth clock time, where k = 1 is the first time the data is observed;

for j = 1 to (M - 1), means for applying

$$d_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{j-1}^{(k)}[i]$$
, and

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{(k)}[i] d_{j}^{(k)}[i]$$
 where $d_{1}[i] = \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}[i]$ is a signal-plus-

noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_1[i] = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, is an (N-1) – dimensional process with the signal removed;

means for computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k)}[i] = (1 - \alpha) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k-1)}[i] \, + \! \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i] d_{j}^{(k)}[i]^{*} \, \underline{\text{,where}} \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}[i] \, \underline{\text{is estimate of}}$$

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}^{(k)}[i] \right\|,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} \text{, wherein } \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_j d_j}^{(k)}[i]\| \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a time}}$$

constant;

means for applying $\in_M^{(k)}[i] = d_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{M-1}^{(k)}[i]$;

for j = M to 2, means for estimating variance of <u>error signal</u> $\in_j^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\xi}_{i}^{(k)}[i] = (\hat{\delta}_{\epsilon_{i}}^{(k)})^{2}[i] = (1 - \alpha)\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k-1)}[i] + \left|\epsilon_{j}^{(k)}[i]\right|^{2};$$

means for computing *jth* scalar Wiener filter $\hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}$$
; and

means for computing (j-1)th error signal $\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i]$

$$\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] = d_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i]^* \in_{j}^{(k)}[i]$$
; wherein output at time k th is $y^{(k)}[i] = \in_{1}^{(k)}[i]$.

16. (Currently Amended) The receiver of claim 9, wherein the means for using the received asynchronous data vector, to update weight coefficients further comprises:

means for computing maximum likelihood estimator for covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}[i]$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i] \mathbf{x}^{(m)*}[i] = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_{0}[i] \mathbf{X}_{0}^{\dagger}[i].$$

wherein, $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ is an observation vector at a sampling time iT_s of the mth symbol, L is the number of independent samples of the observation vector $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}[i]$ for the initial acquisition of detector parameters, and the data is given in matrix form by

$$\mathbf{X}_{0}[i] \underline{\Delta} [\mathbf{x}^{(1)}[i], ..., \mathbf{x}^{(L)}[i]];$$

means for computing estimate of $R_{x_1}[i]$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}[i] = \mathbf{B}_{1}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}[i]\mathbf{B}_{1}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{L}\mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{0}[i]\mathbf{X}_{0}^{\dagger}[i]\mathbf{B}_{1}^{\dagger}$$

and estimate of cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} as,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_1 d_1} = \mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{x}}[i] \mathbf{s}_1 = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{X}_0[i] \mathbf{X}_0^{\dagger}[i] \mathbf{s}_1$$

means for computing $\mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\dagger}[i] = \mathbf{r}_{x_1d_1}^{\dagger}[i]\mathbf{R}_{x_1}^{-1}[i]$ (29);

means for estimating $\hat{b}_1 = \operatorname{sgn}((\mathbf{u}_1^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\mathsf{t}}[\hat{i}]\mathbf{B}_1)\mathbf{x}[\hat{i}])$ (35) , wherein

 $\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} - \mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\dagger}[i]\mathbf{B}_{1}$ (30a) is a weight vector; and

means for computing <u>output</u> $y[i] = (\mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger} - \mathbf{w}_{GSC}^{\dagger}[i]\mathbf{B}_1)\mathbf{x}[i]$. (30b).

17. (Original) A digital signal processor having stored thereon a set of instructions including instructions for filtering interference and noise of an asynchronous wireless signal, when executed, the instructions cause the digital signal processor to perform the steps of:

receiving an asynchronous data vector including a spreading code;

using the received asynchronous data vector, updating weight coefficients of an adaptive filter without prior knowledge of synchronization of the spreading code of the data vector;

using the updated weight coefficients information data bits to determine the synchronization of the spreading code of the data vector; and

demodulating the output of the filter using the determined synchronization of the spreading code of the data vector for obtaining a filtered data vector.

- 18. (Currently Amended) The digital signal processor of claim 17, further comprising instructions for dividing the <u>received asynchronous</u> data vector represented by $\mathbf{x}[i]$ into two channels $\mathbf{x}_1[i]$ and $d_1[i]$ using a transformation \mathbf{T}_1 on $\mathbf{x}[i]$, represented by $\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, wherein the transformed data vector $\mathbf{x}[i]$ does not contain information about a designated sender's spreading code s_1 , and $d_1[i]$ contains primarily only information about the spreading code s_1 and residual data from correlation of s_1 and $\mathbf{x}[i]$.
- 19. (Currently Amended) The digital signal processor of claim 18, wherein the transformation T_1 is defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{B}_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^{\dagger}\mathbf{s}_1}$, $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17)

20. (Currently Amended) The digital signal processor of claim 17, wherein the instructions for determining synchronization comprises instructions for:

computing \hat{i} , the time occurrence of the information data bit, from the equation;

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y[\hat{i}] \} \right| = \max_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, NS-1\}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y[i-k] \} \right|$$
 (30c),

where $y[i] = \mathbf{w}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}[i]$ is filtered output from a likelihood test at clock time *I* detecting sequentially maximum of all likelihood tests in the set Y[i] given by

 $Y[i] = \{ | \text{Re}\{y[i]\}|, ..., | \text{Re}\{y[i-NS+1]\}| \}, \text{ where N is number of chips in the spreading code and S is number of samples per chip time ,and W[i]^t is a tap-weights' vector.}$

21. (Original) An adaptive receiver for filtering interference and noise of an asynchronous wireless signal comprising:

means for receiving an asynchronous data vector including information data bits;

means for updating weight coefficients of an adaptive filter without a prior knowledge of synchronization of the information data bits;

using the updated weight coefficient, means for determining the start of the information data bits; and

means for demodulating the output of the adaptive filter.

22. (Original) The adaptive receiver of claim 21, further comprising means for dividing the data vector represented by $\mathbf{x}[i]$ into two channels $\mathbf{x}_1[i]$ and $d_1[i]$ using a transformation \mathbf{T}_1 on $\mathbf{x}[i]$, represented by $\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, wherein the transformed data vector $\mathbf{x}[i]$ does not contain information about a designated sender's spreading code s_1 , and $d_1[i]$ contains primarily only information about the spreading code s_1 and residual data from correlation of s_1 and $\mathbf{x}[i]$.

23. (Currently Amended) The adaptive receiver of claim 22, wherein the transformation T_1 is defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{B}_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where \mathbf{B}_1 is a blocking matrix whose rows are composed of any orthonormal basis set of the nullspace of the normalized signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1 / \sqrt{\mathbf{s}_1^t \mathbf{s}_1}$, $[.]^T$ denotes matrix transpose, and where $\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{s}_1 = 0$. (17)

24. (Currently Amended) The adaptive receiver of claim 21, wherein the means for determining the start of the information data bits comprises means for:

computing \hat{i} , the time occurrence of the information data bit, from the equation;

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y \, [\hat{i}] \} \right| = \max_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, NS-1\}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ y \, [i - k] \} \right|$$
 (30c),

where $y[i] = \mathbf{w}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}[i]$ is filtered output from a likelihood ratio test at clock time *i* detecting sequentially maximum of all likelihood ratio tests in the set Y[i] given by

 $Y[i] = \{ | \text{Re}\{y[i]\}|, ..., | \text{Re}\{y[i-NS+1]\}| \}$, where N is number of chips in the spreading code and S is number of samples per chip time, and W[i]^t is a tap-weights' vector.

25. (Currently Amended) The adaptive receiver of claim 21, wherein the means for determining the start of the information data bits comprises:

for k = 1 to n, means for applying

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_0d_0}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{s}_{1,} \ \ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1}{\|\mathbf{s}_1\|} \,, \, \text{and} \ \ \hat{\delta}_1^{(k)}[i] = \|\mathbf{s}_1\| \,, \, \text{wherein} \, \, \mathbf{x}_0^{(k)}[i] \,\, \text{is the} \,\, \mathbf{s}_1^{(k)}[i] \,\, \mathbf{s}_2^{(k)}[i] \,\, \mathbf{s}_3^{(k)}[i] \,\, \mathbf$$

received asynchronous data vector, s_1 is a designated sender's spreading

code, and k is kth clock time, where k = 1 is the first time the data is observed;

for j = 1 to (M - 1), means for applying

$$d_i^{(k)}[i] = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{(k)}[i]$$
, and

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{(k)}[i] d_{j}^{(k)}[i]$$
, where $d_{1}[i] = \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}[i]$ is a signal-plus-

noise scalar process and $\mathbf{x}_1[i] = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{x}[i]$, is an (N-1) – dimensional process with the signal removed;

means for computing (j+1)th stage basis vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k)}[i] = (1 - \alpha) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}^{(k-1)}[i] \, + \, \mathsf{x}_{j}^{(k)}[i] d_{j}^{(k)}[i]^{*} \quad \text{where } \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{x}_{j}d_{j}}[i] \, \text{is estimate of}$$

cross-correlation vector \mathbf{r}_{xldl} ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{x_j d_j}^{(k)}[i] \right\|,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_jd_j}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} \text{, wherein } \underline{\hat{\delta}_{j+1}^{(k)}[i]} = \underline{\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_jd_j}^{(k)}[i]\| \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a time}}$$

constant;

means for applying Mth error signal $\in_M^{(k)}[i] = d_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_M^{(k)}[i]^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_{M-1}^{(k)}[i]$; for j = M to 2, means for estimating variance of error signal $\in_i^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\xi}_{i}^{(k)}[i] = (\hat{\delta}_{\in_{i}}^{(k)})^{2}[i] = (1 - \alpha)\hat{\xi}_{i}^{(k-1)}[i] + \left| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}^{(k)}[i] \right|^{2};$$

means for computing jth scalar Wiener filter $\hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i]$

$$\hat{\omega}_{j}^{(k)}[i] = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}{\hat{\xi}_{j}^{(k)}[i]}$$
; and

means for computing (j-1)th error signal $\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i]$

 $\in_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] = d_{j-1}^{(k)}[i] - \hat{\omega}_j^{(k)}[i]^* \in_j^{(k)}[i];$ wherein output at time kth is $y^{(k)}[i] = \in_1^{(k)}[i]$.